

1 [Counsel Listed Below]
2
3
4
5
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO
11

12 UniRAM TECHNOLOGY, INC., a California
13 corporation,
14 Plaintiff,

15 v.
16 MONOLITHIC SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY, a
17 Delaware corporation; TAIWAN
18 SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING
COMPANY LTD., a Taiwan corporation, and
TSMC NORTH AMERICA, a California
corporation,

19 Defendants.

20 Case No. CV 04-01268-VRW
21
22

**STIPULATED MOTION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO ALLOW
ATTACHMENTS TO JOINT LETTER**

**STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO ALLOW
ATTACHMENTS TO JOINT LETTER RE TAPEOUTS**

Pursuant to the Court's March 23, 2006, Order Re Tapeout Files in the above referenced matter, the UniRAM and the TSMC defendants (collectively "TSMC") intend to submit a joint letter addressing unresolved third-party objections to tapeout production. Due to the number of unresolved objections, the UniRAM and TSMC seek leave to be allowed to attach and incorporate by reference in their joint letter TSMC's brief stating its customers' objections and

1 UniRAM's brief stating its response to those objections, which TSMC and UniRAM exchanged
2 pursuant to the Court's Order. The UniRAM and TSMC believe that this will most effectively
3 brief the Court on the nature of their disagreements and their respective positions regarding how
4 those disagreements should be resolved.

5
6
7 Dated: May 31, 2006

By: _____ /s/

8
9
10 Edward R. Reines
Attorneys for Defendants
Taiwan Semiconductor
Manufacturing Company, Ltd, and
TSMC North America

11 Dated: May 31, 2006

By: _____ /s/

12 Ian B. Crosby
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
UniRAM Technology Inc.

14 **ORDER**

15 Pursuant to stipulation, it is ORDERED that the parties may submit as attachments to a
16 joint letter their briefs exchanged pursuant to the Court's March 23, 2006, Order re Tapeout Files.
17

18 Dated: May ____, 2006

By: _____

19 June 1, 2006

Hon. Maria-Elena James

